IN THE COURT OF APPEAL, FIJI ON APPEAL FROM THE HIGH COURT OF FIJI

:

:

:

:

:

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. AAU 9 OF 2016

(High Court No. HAC 105 of 2014)

BETWEEN

NAIBUKA RAVANUA

Appellant

AND

THE STATE

Respondent

Coram

Prematilaka JA

A Fernando JA Nawana JA

Counsel

Mr T Lee for the Appellant

Ms S Kiran for the Respondent

Date of Hearing

11 September 2019

Date of Judgment:

3 October 2019

JUDGMENT

Prematilaka JA

[1] I agree.

Fernando JA

- [2] The Appellant had been convicted on one count of rape and on one count of sexual assault, by the High Court of Suva. He had been sentenced to 11 years imprisonment on the count of rape and to 4 years imprisonment on the count of sexual assault. The sentences had been ordered to be served concurrently with a non-parole term of 9 years. He had appealed against his sentence.
- [3] At the commencement of the hearing of the appeal, following the judgment of the Court of Appeal in Christina Doreen Skipper v. Reginam (Cr. App. 70/1978) which was reiterated in Kumar v. The State [2005] FJCA 54; AAU 18J.2005 (29 July 2005) the Court drew the attention of the Appellant and to his Counsel to section 23(3) of the Court of Appeal Act which states:

"On an appeal against sentence, the Court of Appeal shall, if they think that a different sentence should have been passed, quash the sentence passed at the trial, and pass such other sentence warranted by law by the verdict (whether more or less severe) in substitution therefor as they think ought to have been passed, or may dismiss the appeal or make such other order as they think just."

- [4] The Court having thus drawn the attention of both the Appellant and to his Counsel to the said section afforded an opportunity for the Appellant to make submissions in that regard and asked the Appellant to consult with his Counsel.
- [5] Counsel for the Appellant then informed Court that the Appellant had informed him that he does not want to pursue the appeal and wanted to abandon his appeal, subject only to the correction by Court of a calculation error made by the Sentencing Judge when passing sentence. In passing sentence for the offence of rape after considering the circumstances and the impact of the incident left on the victim the learned Sentencing Judge had used 9 years as the starting point. Having noted the aggravating factors the Learned Sentencing Judge had stated at paragraph 13 of his judgment: "I add 4 years for above aggravating factors. Now your sentence is 14 years." Thereafter noting the mitigating factors he had

said: "I deduct 3 years for the above mitigating factors. Now the sentence is 11 years." It is clear that it should have been: 9 + 4 - 3 = 10. Therefore paragraph 19 of the Sentence Ruling where the Learned Sentencing Judge refers to the sentences imposed on the appellant should read as "(i) Count of rape -10 (instead of 11) years of imprisonment." Counsel for the Appellant did not ask for a reduction of the parole period of 9 years.

- [6] The Appellant then presented to Court a duly completed and signed Abandonment of Appeal form under rule 39 of the Court of Appeal Rules, informing Court that he does not intend to prosecute the appeal and that he had decided to abandon all further proceedings in regard to the appeal as from this date.
- [7] The Court then in accordance with the guidelines given in Masirewa v. State Criminal Appeal No. CAV 0014 of 2008S: 17 August 2010 [2010] FJSC 5 and several other decisions of the Court of Appeal, asked the following questions from the Appellant, through the Court Interpreter in order to satisfy itself, before making its order:
 - Q. Your Counsel has informed Court that you wished to abandon the appeal against sentence.
 - A. Yes
 - Q. You have just signed the Notice of Abandonment of Appeal form
 - A. Yes
 - Q. Why do you want to abandon the appeal?
 - A. I have sought advice from my Counsel, discussed the matter with him and have decided to withdraw the appeal
 - Q. Is the decision to abandon the appeal made voluntarily and out of your own free will?
 - A. Yes
 - Q. Has any pressure been brought on you to abandon the appeal?
 - A. No
 - Q. Do you understand the consequences of abandonment of an appeal? Do you appreciate that once you abandon the appeal, the appeal will be dismissed and you will not be able to prosecute the appeal against your sentence again?
 - A. Yes, I understand and agree to the consequences of abandonment of the appeal.

- [8] I am satisfied that the Appellant's application to abandon his appeal is a considered decision upon legal advice that had been taken and without any form of influence exerted on him and voluntarily and on his own free will. I am also satisfied that the Appellant fully understood and agreed to the consequences of the abandonment of his appeal.
- [9] In the circumstances, I allow the abandonment of the appeal and the appeal stands dismissed by this Court.

Nawana J.A.

[10] I agree.

The Orders of the Court:

- (i) Abandonment of appeal allowed.
- (ii) Appeal against sentence dismissed.
- (iii) Sentence of 11 years imprisonment imposed on the Appellant at paragraph 20 of the Sentence Ruling corrected to read as 10 years.

Hon Justice C Prematilaka
JUSTICE OF APPEAL

Hon. Justice A Fernando JUSTICE OF APPEAL

Hon. Justice P Nawana
JUSTICE OF APPEAL

annatalwand