

ICHIRO, Plaintiff
v.
BISMARK, Defendant
Civil Action No. 25
Trial Division of the High Court
Palau District
September 2, 1953
See, also, 1 T.T.R. 57

In supplemental judgment, writ of habeas corpus was denied following new commitment order issued by District Administrator, after notice and hearing were given in accordance with judgment of August 17, 1953, in which Court held that revocation of parole without notice and hearing was defective. Writ denied.

FURBER, Chief Justice

A copy of a new order issued by the Acting District Administrator, Palau District, after notice and hearing in accordance with the condition contained in the judgment entered in this action August 17, 1953, has been filed. This new order provided for the return of the plaintiff to prison for the period August 11 to August 31, 1953, and release again on parole on September 1, 1953, subject to certain additional terms imposed in accordance with authorization in the original parole. Counsel for the plaintiff has reported that the condition in the judgment entered August 17, 1953, has been complied with and the plaintiff now released from prison in accordance with the new order referred to above.

It is accordingly ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

1. The writ of habeas corpus applied for in this action, is denied.
2. No costs are assessed against either party.