IN THE MALATTA LOCAL COURT

LAND CASE NO: 7/97

DATE: 20-10-97

Name of Land in Dispute: .... FANOABU

1) ANDREW AKOMANA

Name of Plaintiff: 2) DAVID RAMOTALAU OF BIO VILLAGE, W/KMARA'AE

v.

Name of Defendant ALFRED ARUNU

#### DECREE

## JUIXIMPHY/COURT FINARIA

## 1. GENERATIONS

- 1.1) Poth parties are related in female line to Kongi of FANOABU land.
- 1.2) There is no evidence to proof the F2 relate to Fr. D Rametalan

# 2. SACRIFICIAL/PAMBI SITE

- 1.1 FAHOABU and LUMADIA are two separate and different tambu sites.
  P1 & 2 claim Fanoala tambu site whereas Defendant Arara claim
  LUMADIA tambu site.
- 1.2 Court on narroy is natisfied with Fancalu tambu site because Court saw human bones believed to be benes of Kongl. Also Court saw wall stone in Fancalu although Fancalu had been partly damaged by logging activities. Wall stones of Fancalu also partly damaged.

# 3. BOUNDARY/SPEARLINE

3.1) P1 & 2's boundary or spearline is to be referred to when considering FANOABU land. That is to say: - From MALITAKWA

until it reach AKWA tree marked "X". From AKWA tree marked "X" sraight to FILACLE. From FILAFOLE to NAMOGORIAKO stream. From NAMOGORIAKO stream going along this stream until it reach KWAINARAKO river. From KWAINARAKO river going along this river until it reach the sea coast. From sea side (i.e mouth of KWAINARAKO/Bio river) going along the sea coast until it reach MALITAKWA ARAMAE again.

- 4. Since there is no evidence to fully proof that P2 DAVID
  RAMOTALAU is born related to Fr. D. RAMOTALAU, the Court is
  of the opinion that P2 David Ramotalau is a different party
  from Fr. D. Ramotalau, who has lodge a fresh case claiming
  ownership of FANOABU LAND; a parcel of land within TABAKWAKWA.
- 5. According to the survey/Report, the Court is satisfied that FANOABU tambu site is fully proven beyond reasonable doubt. However, for LUMADIA tambu site, this is not so.
- 6. Therefore Court now come up with this decision.

#### DECISION

仳

- 1. P1 & 2 ANDREW AKOMANA and DAVID RAMOTALAU have primary right of ownership over FANOABU parcel of land.
- 2. Defendant ALFRED ARURU has secondary right of ownership over FANOABU parcel of land.
- 3. Any future development, parties to get permission from the primary owners.

Any party wish to appeal against this decision may do so within 90 days (3 months) effective 27/10/97 and to be lapsed on 27/1/98.

COURT OFFICIALS: J. S Meke

V₽

R. TALO

CM

E. WASI

CI:I

Henry Kala

Court Clerk (M)

