IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF VANUATU 2014 (Criminal Jurisdiction)

Criminal Case No. 01 of 2014

OF REPLEED

Apr Karadist

Part Consist

C PROSECUTOR - VS - MARK TIMING & PUBLIC PROSECUTOR - VS - MARK TINING & HENRY TINING

Coram:

Mr. Justice Oliver A. Saksak

🦾 Counsel:

សុទ្ធសូមម្រែក

t With the Late

Mr. Ken Massing for the State

Mr. Junior Garae for the Defendant

Date:

26th February 2014

SENTENCE

on both appear to Mark Fining and Henry Fining you both appear for Sentence today for cultivation வரு வெளியி வம்க and unlawful possession of cannabis.

The your pleade2 gMarkt Tining on 7th February 2014 you pleaded guilty to one charge of unlawful and one of the cannabis contrary to Section 2 (62) and to one charge of the cannabis contrary to Section 4 of the cultivation of cannabis contrary to Section 4 of the Dangerous Drugs Act Cap. 12 or cash (the Act).

Bity to one of**3**ரசி**ently Tihing** you spleaded guilty to one charge of unlawful possession of paining you be had a cannabis contrary to Section 2 (62) of the Act.

- 4 Based on your guilty pleas the Court hereby convicts both of you as charged.
 - 5. The facts are simple. On 25th November 2013, Police Officers carried out checks on the defendants at the landing jetty at St Michel. They found leaves and branches in Mark Tining's bag and trousers with a weight of 42.113 grams. On Henry Tining the Police found cannabis weighing 0.383 grams. You both concede and accept those facts. Mark Tining admitted to cultivating a plant of cannabis. He also admitted to consumption. Henry Tining did not admit to

being peer pressure.

considered v6.r In Sentencing both your line considered your pre-sentence reports and the encirc contains by both Mr. Massing and Mr. Garae.

Sentencing principles laid down by the Court Public Prosecutor (2013) VUCA 26 and Boe V. The Case of Wetul v. Public Prosecutor (2013) VUCA 26 and Boe V. The Case No. 5 Public Prosecutor (Criminal Appeal Case No. 5 of 2013. I accept the defence Prosecutor all within category 1.

the pears from your pleas and bail application. It appears from your reports that you both have used barried of incarceration and as a result you both have made more made and bail application. It hope you both will maintain your positions as a result will maintain your positions as a result will maintain your positions.

Appeal in Public approach taken by the Court of Appeal in Public Prosecutor v. Tuk Sope [2004] as the end of the court of Appeal in Public approach taken by the Court of Appeal in Public Prosecutor v. Tuk Sope [2004] as the end of the court of the cour

v sentence both**o.Under those circumstances I now sentence both of you as follows:-** 19.11nder those circum

(a) Mark Tining – For Count 1 – Unlawful Possession of Cannabis – 6 months imprisonment concurrent with the sentence in Count 2.

For Count 2 – Cultivation of Cannabis – 6 months imprisonment,
concurrent with the sentence in Count 1.

Your current sentence of 6 months imprisonment is suspended

for a period of 12 months (1 year).

der supervision of the Probativou are placed under supervision of the Probation Service for an emspended sentence with specific period of your suspended sentence with special conditions. To the Probation Service within 72You must report to the Probation Service within 72 hours.

consession of Car(b) Henry Tining—For Unlawful Possession of Cannabis I sentence you to 6 someonic however this sentence is almonths imprisonment. However this sentence is also a period of 12 months (1 year) with suspended for a period of 12 months (1 year) with under special conditions stated in the supervision under special conditions stated in the order. You are to report to the Probaticappropriate order. You are to report to the Probation Service within 72 hours.

i You have a அராhat is the sentence of the Court. You have a right of appeal against sentence if நடிகள்கள் either of you are not happy with it.

หมายสาร ≱DATED at Luganville this 26th day of February 2014.....

THE COURT

BY THE COURT

RA SAKSAK Judge

